

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Veginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,036	04/04/2001	Jonathan D. Chapple-Sokol	BUR920000119US1	BUR920000119US1 · 3787	
30678 75	90 08/14/2003				
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
WASHINGTO	1, DC 20030-3423		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753	11	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	//	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/826,036	CHAPPLE-SOKOLET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Rodney G. McDonald	1753 ⁽				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nc address				
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, thousever, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Ission and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c)						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-8,10 and 15-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Rodra Strond				
	5	Rodney G. McDonald Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/826,036



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment raises new issues because the layer completely covering the oxide layer was not discussed in the finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the note above and for the reasons set forth in the final rejection.